The following outputs were made in 2011 within the implementation of the Work Plan of J&E regarding European Union environmental law:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic Area</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Type of Output</th>
<th>Number of Volumes</th>
<th>Countries or Period Covered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aarhus Convention</td>
<td>ACCC Case Law 2008-2011</td>
<td>legal analysis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ECHR Case Law in Environmental Cases</td>
<td>legal analysis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RIR Monitoring of the OJ</td>
<td>monitoring</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>January – December</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RIR Public Guide</td>
<td>legal guidance</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Seveso III and the AC</td>
<td>legal analysis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Slovakia Judgment of the CJEU</td>
<td>legal analysis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Slovakia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price of Justice</td>
<td>Price of Justice Position Paper</td>
<td>position paper</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate Change</td>
<td>CCS and Aarhus</td>
<td>legal analysis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CCS and Aarhus</td>
<td>position paper</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Climate Change Legislation</td>
<td>country report</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Austria, Czech Republic, Germany, Hungary, Romania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Climate Change Legislation</td>
<td>position paper</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Energy Efficiency Legislation</td>
<td>country report</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Austria, Hungary, Romania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Energy Efficiency Legislation</td>
<td>position paper</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UNFCC and Aarhus</td>
<td>legal analysis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UNFCC and Aarhus</td>
<td>position paper</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natura</td>
<td>Declassification Natura 2000</td>
<td>legal analysis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Declassification Natura 2000</td>
<td>summary</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Declassification Natura 2000</td>
<td>position paper</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIA</td>
<td>EIA Case Study</td>
<td>case study</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Hungary, Romania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>EIA Related ECJ Cases</td>
<td>case study</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Banning Cyanide in Mining</td>
<td>position paper</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Opinion on the END</td>
<td>legal analysis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Opinion on the END</td>
<td>position paper</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>Danube-Oder-Elbe Canal</td>
<td>position paper</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste</td>
<td>Waste Management Planning</td>
<td>country report</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Estonia, Germany, Hungary, Romania, Slovakia, Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Waste Management Public Participation Toolkit</td>
<td>legal guidance</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Waste Related ECJ Cases</td>
<td>case study</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELD</td>
<td>Comparison of Liability Regimes</td>
<td>legal analysis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Differences between Liability Regimes</td>
<td>position paper</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ELD Newsletter</td>
<td>newsletter</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Red Mud Case</td>
<td>case study</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hungary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Press Release</td>
<td>press release</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>July (1), December (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>